UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,647 | 08/17/2006 | Jens Richter | 016906-0464 | 7541 |
| | 7590 06/09/200 LARDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 500 | T NIII | WALBERG, TERESA J | | |
| 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3744 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/09/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/566,647 | RICHTER, JENS | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Teresa J. Walberg | 3744 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ar</u> | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17,20,21,24,27,28 and 31 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17,20,21,24,27,28 and 31 is/are reg 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | e: a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/09. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/566,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 March 2009 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15, 16, 20, 21, 25, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al (5,222,551).

Hasegawa et al discloses a heat exchanger (Figs. 1-3) including several tray shaped plates (1), which are placed on top of one another (Fig. 1), sealed together on their peripheral edges (2) and provided with passages (11, 13), two continuous flow channels (6, 7) are each formed from the passages that lie essentially above one another (Figs. 1, 2A, 2B)), each flow channel traverses the plates (Fig. 1), the two flow channels being traversed by different media from a single admission side to a single discharge side (Fig. 1), each flow channel

Application/Control Number: 10/566,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

having essentially elongate cross sections (6, 7) at the admission and discharge sides, the cross section being oval (Fig. 1), the heat exchanger being a stacked plate cooler for a vehicle (col. 1, lines 6,7), each plate including passages with elongate cross section (Fig. 1).

Hasegawa et al shows the oval flow channels having proportions similar those of the present invention, however, patent drawings cannot be presumed to be to scale and Hasegawa et al does not state the measurements of the channels. Thus it is unclear whether the channels of Hasegawa et al have the claimed length to width ratio of between 1.5 and 3.

However, the proportions of the oval flow channels are considered to be a result-effective variable, which one of ordinary skill in the art would optimize based on the specific flow conditions intended for the device. See MPEP 2144.05.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use flow channels having a length to width ratio of between 1.5 and 3 in the heat exchanger of Hasegawa et al, based on the intended use of the device and since Hasegawa et al leaves it to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the actual measurements of the device.

4. Claims 17, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al (5,222,551) in view of Andersson (WO 01/67021).

Hasegawa et al discloses a heat exchanger having the claimed structure with the exception of passages having different cross sectional shapes.

Andersson discloses heat exchangers in which passages have different cross sectional shapes (see 11 and 22 in Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Andersson to use passages with different cross sectional shapes in the heat exchanger of Hasegawa et al, the motivation being to enable adjusting the sizes and shapes of the flow passages to the different liquids used in the heat exchanger.

- 5. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 20 it is unclear how the stacked-plate cooler relates to the heat exchanger of claim 1. It has been assumed for purposes of this action that claim 20 was intended to state that the heat exchanger of claim 1 is a stacked plate cooler for a vehicle. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-17, 20, 21, 24, 27, 28, and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Teresa J. Walberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/TW/